

[REDACTED]

---

[REDACTED]

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

To You,

I could write some wonderful nicely worded email, Pointing out all the published papers denouncing genetic damage caused by this archaic technology. But instead I want to make a point about science. Science is not, nor has it ever been about safety, it has always been about pushing boundaries of what was possible, sometime with the new boundaries resting father a field, sometimes with dead scientists. We simply have such a poor understanding of epigenetic information, how to control it and what we are actually controlling that we are in the math very likely to wipe out a few million years of our fore fathers fighting to be the fittest life.

Modified materials should not be allowed into the food chain, we know that 'nature finds a way' and the modified material crosses all sorts of barriers it shouldn't.

We know that corporations are legislated to act for profit alone, and therefore it is only logical that they will omit key data in their communications with the regulator.

In the event that the regulator sees fit to experiment with the coding of the population, at the very least we should be able to opt out of the experiment through adequate labeling.

We must be able to provide genetically clean food to our trading partners, failing this we could only trade with the US (funny that) it would destroy the high end of Australian agricultural sector and certainly in the best interest of the Russians.

Whatever you do, keep your oversight. if it were up to me I'd want to see actually impartial science, but that is never going to happen. So take the biased stuff produced with grants from the very companies being tested, knowing that any adverse finding removes the author from their chosen profession. Read between the lines, look for what was not being tested for. And please use wisdom as there is far more at stake than with pharmaceuticals, or food chemicals.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]